# Auditing Procedures Report Issued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended and P.A. 71 of 1919, as amended.

Local Unit of Government Type					<u> </u>		Local Unit Name			County				
☐County ☐City ☐Twp ☐Village			Other											
Fiscal Year End Opinion Date					Opinion Date			Date Audit Report Submitted	to State					
We a	We affirm that:													
We a	We are certified public accountants licensed to practice in Michigan.													
We further affirm the following material, "no" responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the notes, or in the Management Letter (report of comments and recommendations).														
	YES	9	Check ea	ach applic	cable box belo	w. (See	instructions for	tructions for further detail.)						
1.				uired component units/funds/agencies of the local unit are included in the financial statements and/or disclosed in the ng entity notes to the financial statements as necessary.										
2.								unit's unreserved fund balar budget for expenditures.	nces/unres	stricted net assets				
3.			The local	unit is in	compliance with	n the Uni	form Chart of A	Accounts issued by the Dep	artment of	f Treasury.				
4.			The local	unit has a	adopted a budg	et for all	required funds	i.						
5.			A public h	nearing on	the budget wa	s held in	accordance w	rith State statute.						
6.			The local unit has not violated the Municipal Finance Act, an order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act, or other guidance as issued by the Local Audit and Finance Division.											
7.			The local	unit has r	not been delinq	uent in di	istributing tax r	evenues that were collecte	d for anoth	ner taxing unit.				
8.			The local unit only holds deposits/investments that comply with statutory requirements.											
9.			The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the <i>Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan</i> , as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin).											
10.			There are no indications of defalcation, fraud or embezzlement, which came to our attention during the course of our audit that have not been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (LAFD). If there is such activity that has not been communicated, please submit a separate report under separate cover.											
11.			The local	unit is fre	e of repeated c	omments	s from previous	rom previous years.						
12.			The audit	t opinion is	UNQUALIFIE	D.								
13.					complied with G ng principles (G		or GASB 34 as	s modified by MCGAA State	ement #7 a	and other generally				
14.			The boar	d or cound	cil approves all	invoices	prior to payme	ent as required by charter or	r statute.					
15.			To our knowledge, bank reconciliations that were reviewed were performed timely.											
If a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission.  I, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects.														
We have enclosed the following:					g:	Enclose	d Not Require	Not Required (enter a brief justification)						
Financial Statements														
The letter of Comments and Recommendations					ommendations									
Other (Describe)														
Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name)								Telephone Number						
Street Address							City	State	Zip					
Auth	orizing	CPA	Signature	Max R.	Was A	F	Printed Name		License Nu	umber				

Financial Report
with Supplemental Information
June 30, 2007

	Contents
Report Letter	I
Management's Discussion and Analysis	2
Financial Statement	
Balance Sheet	3
Notes to Balance Sheet	4-5
Supplemental Information	6
Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements	7



Plante & Moran, PLLC

Suite 300 19176 Hall Road Clinton Township, MI 48038 Tel: 586.416.4900 Fax: 586.416.4901 plantemoran.com

#### Independent Auditor's Report

To Chief Judge Marc Santia District Court No. 39 Roseville, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet and supplemental information of the District Court Funds of District No. 39 (a component unit of the City of Roseville, Michigan) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007. This financial statement and supplemental information are the responsibility of the management of the District Court Funds of District No. 39, City of Roseville, Michigan. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the basic financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the District Court Funds of District No. 39, City of Roseville, Michigan as of June 30, 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Plante + Moran, PLLC

December 13, 2007

Praxity:

#### **Management's Discussion and Analysis**

Our discussion and analysis of District Court Funds of District No. 39, City of Roseville's (the "District Court") financial performance provides an overview of the District Court's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. Please read it in conjunction with the District Court's financial statement.

#### **Using this Financial Report**

This financial report represents the activities of the funds of the District Court during the year. The funds of the District Court are agency funds. Therefore, the activities are limited to collection of amounts that are subsequently returned or paid to third parties. The funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve the measurement of results of operations. A detailed schedule of cash receipts and disbursements is shown in the supplemental information portion of the financial report.

#### The District Court as a Whole

The following table shows, in a condensed format, the assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2007 and compared to the prior year:

The District Court's combined assets and liabilities increased 51 percent from a year ago, increasing from \$135,022 to \$203,914. This increase is primarily related to a landlord - tenant dispute where rent payments have been collected by the District Court and held in escrow until the matter is resolved.

		2006		
Assets - Cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$</u>	203,914	<u>\$</u>	135,022
Liabilities				
Returnable bonds	\$	118,028	\$	128,459
Due to General Fund		512		3,153
Escrow deposits		85,374		3,410
Total liabilities	\$	203,914	\$	135,022

#### **Contacting the District Court's Management**

This financial report is intended to provide our citizens and customers with a general overview of the District Court's activities and to show the District Court's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional information, we welcome you to contact the District Court directly at (586) 773-2010.

## Balance Sheet June 30, 2007

	Dis	strict					Jui	ry and
	Contr	ol Unit		Bond	Ga	rnishment	Witn	ess Fee
	Collections		Account		Account		Account	
Assets - Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2)	\$		\$	118,540	\$	85,374	\$	
Liabilities								
Returnable bonds	\$	-	\$	118,028	\$	-	\$	-
Due to General Fund		-		512		-		-
Escrow deposits						85,374		
Total liabilities	\$	-	\$	118,540	\$	85,374	\$	

# Notes to Balance Sheet June 30, 2007

#### **Note I - Significant Accounting Policies**

The accounting policies of the District Court Funds of District No. 39, City of Roseville, Michigan (the "District Court") conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applicable to governmental units. The District Court is governed by three elected judges. There are no component units.

The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies used by the District Court Funds of District No. 39:

The funds of the District Court are Agency Funds. The financial activities of the funds are limited to fine and fee collections that are transferred to the District Control Unit (the City of Roseville) when processed. The accumulation of those collections and the ultimate payment to the applicable agencies are the responsibility of the District Control Unit. The funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve the measurement of results of operations.

The operations of the District Court are included as a separate activity in the General Fund of the City of Roseville.

The District Court is a component unit of the City of Roseville and is included in the basic financial statements of the City of Roseville at June 30, 2007.

#### Note 2 - Cash and Cash Equivalents

Michigan Compiled Laws Section 129.91 (Public Act 20 of 1943, as amended) authorizes local governmental units to make deposits and invest in the accounts of federally insured banks, credit unions, and savings and loan associations that have offices in Michigan. A local unit is allowed to invest in bonds, securities, and other direct obligations of the United States or any agency or instrumentality of the United States; repurchase agreements; bankers' acceptances of United States banks; commercial paper rated within the two highest classifications, which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase; obligations of the State of Michigan or its political subdivisions, which are rated as investment grade; and mutual funds composed of investment vehicles that are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan.

### Notes to Balance Sheet June 30, 2007

#### Note 2 - Cash and Cash Equivalents (Continued)

The District Court has designated one bank for the deposit of its funds. The investment policy adopted by the District Court in accordance with Public Act 196 of 1997 has authorized investment in bonds and securities of the United States government and bank accounts and CDs, but not the remainder of state statutory authority as listed above. The District Court's deposits and investment policies are in accordance with statutory authority.

The District Court's cash and investments are subject to custodial credit risk, which is examined in more detail below:

#### **Custodial Credit Risk of Bank Deposits**

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the District Court's deposits may not be returned to it. The District Court does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. At year end, the District Court had deposits totaling \$203,914. The deposits were reflected in the accounts of the bank (without recognition of checks written but not yet cleared or of deposits in transit) totaling \$161,285, all of which was covered by federal depository insurance. The District Court evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.



## Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements Year Ended June 30, 2007

	District Control	David Assessed	Garnishment	Jury and Witness		
	Unit Collections	Bond Account	Account	Fee Account		
Cash and Cash Equivalents -						
Beginning of year	\$ -	\$ 131,612	\$ 3,410	\$ -		
Receipts						
Fines and fees	3,091,505	-	-	-		
Bonds posted	-	871,260	-	-		
Garnishments	-	-	98,413	-		
Interest income		3,921	2,369			
Total receipts	3,091,505	875,181	100,782	-		
Disbursements						
Transfers to District Control Unit	2,053,871	-	-	-		
Transfers to District Control Unit						
for payment to:						
State of Michigan	591,242	-	-	-		
Macomb County	43,446	-	-	-		
Attorney fees	198,990	-	-	-		
Refunds and miscellaneous	203,956	-	-	-		
Bond refunds, forfeitures, and						
transfers	-	888,253	-	-		
Garnishments			18,818			
Total disbursements	3,091,505	888,253	18,818			
Cash and Cash Equivalents -						
End of year	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 118,540</u>	<b>\$ 85,374</b>	<u> </u>		